# Computational study of exo-selective Diels-Alder cycloadditions, catalyzed by aluminum chloride

S. M. Bakalova\*, J. Kaneti, N. Markova

Institute of organic chemistry with Centre of phytochemistry Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev str., Block 9, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

Received April 18, 2017; Revised June 02, 2017

Dedicated to Acad. Ivan Juchnovski on the occasion of his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday

The aluminum chloride catalyzed *exo*-selective Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene with four dienophiles, with the reactive double bond in a five-membered cyclopentene or dihydrofuran ring, is studied computationally by M06-2x/6-311G(d,p) density functional theory. The electron-withdrawing group in these dienophiles is a carbonyl group, aldehyde or methyl ketone, at the reactive double bond, capable to form complexes by coordination of AlCl<sub>3</sub>. The predicted stabilization energy of the complexes is in the range of 25 to 37 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>. Present calculations predict streoselectivities in very good agreement with experiment for the studied cyclopentene dienophiles. In the cases of dihydrofuran dienophiles calculated selectivities are higher than determined by experiment, although the observed trend of selectivity change between the two dihydrofuran dienophiles is predicted correctly. Free energy differences between located transition structures are interpreted mainly on the basis of steric repulsion. The majority of the studied reaction channels follow a concerted non-synchronous mechanism. In a few cases of highly asynchronous transition structures calculations predict a two-step mechanism.

Key words: exo-selectivity; Diels-Alder; DFT; cyclopentenal; dihydrofurfural; aluminum chloride; catalysis

# INTRODUCTION

The most significant feature of the Diels-Alder cycloaddition reaction, discovered a century ago, [1] is its capability to generate up to four stereogenic centers in a single reaction step. Indeed, this reaction capability has been and currently is the focus of intense scrutiny both still experimentally and theoretically. The creation of essential natural and biomimetic products is based to a significant extent on Diels - Alder type condensed ring syntheses, due to their high and predictable stereoselectivity. With [4+2]cycloadditions, the predominant stereochemical outcome consists of endo - Diels-Alder adducts [2]. In certain cases of synthetic design, this preference be undesirable. mav happen to Therefore. cycloadditions of the [4+2] type with *exo* preference are of special interest to synthetic planners. For this reason we attempt to understand the origins of exo-selectivity by means of computational theoretical chemistry, applied to some experimentally known examples of this kind of reaction [3-7]. The uncatalyzed reactions of cyclopenten-1-al and 1 the corresponding methylketone 2 with cyclopentadiene, CPD, produce the corresponding adducts with exo/endo

selectivity up to 5:1 for the aldehyde, but with practically equal amounts of *exo* and *endo* adducts with the enone [3]. 4,5-Dihydrofurfural **3** and 2-acetyl-4,5-dihydrofuran **4** as dienophiles, Scheme 1, give with CPD, in the presence of dimethylaluminum chloride predominantly the *exo*-adduct [3].



**Scheme 1**. Studied dienophiles in their s-*cis* conformations.

The observed low to moderate selectivity of uncatalyzed additions is increased upon Lewis acid catalysis [3]. We model computationally the reactions of the above dienophiles with CPD catalyzed by AlCl<sub>3</sub> in the attempt to understand the role of Lewis catalysts in reaction stereoselectivity.

# COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We study reaction potential energy profiles and surfaces using the Gaussian 09 program system [8]. Our model uses M06-2x/6-311G(d,p) [9]

E-mail: bakalova@orgchm.bas.bg

<sup>\*</sup> To whom all correspondence should be sent:

calculations to search for minima and transition structures, TSs, on the reaction potential energy surfaces, PES, using the default Berny algorithm and tight optimization criteria [8,10]. Located stationary structures are further identified by vibrational analysis to ensure the presence of a unique imaginary frequency in TSs and no imaginary frequency in the minima. In addition, we characterize TSs by following intrinsic reaction coordinates, IRC, [11-13] to acquire additional information regarding the reaction mechanism from curvatures of the energy profiles. To compute kinetic stereoselectivities of each diastereoisomeric reaction channel, we calculate their respective Gibbs free energies and determine the overall reaction stereochemical distribution from the relative  $\Delta\Delta G^{\pm}$  [14,15]. Relative free energies and kinetic stereoselectivities are calculated at the experimental temperature of 195 K for better comparison with experimental results. [3] The catalytic Lewis acid in our models is AlCl<sub>3</sub>, a simplification for Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl used in experiments [3]. Solvent effects are accounted for using the PCM model [16] as implemented in Gaussian 09.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Dienophiles 1 - 4 (Scheme 1) can exist as mixtures of *s*-*cis* and *s*-*trans* isomers, denoted further as C and T. Our calculations show that dienophiles 1 - 3 in dichloromethane (DCM) have an energetic preference for the *s*-*trans* conformation, with the energy difference between conformers decreasing from 1 to 3, while for dienophile 4 the *s*-*cis* rotamer is more stable.

We initiate our modeling of Lewis acid (LA) catalysis of the [4+2] addition with optimization of the structures of the reactant dienophile complexes with AlCl<sub>3</sub> as starting PES minima of the reaction. Structures of AlCl<sub>3</sub> complexes are determined by the direction of free electron pairs of carbonyl oxygen atoms, as shown on Scheme 2, and denoted for convenience as syn and anti relative to the C=C bond. Thus complex Ca stands for a complex with s-cis conformation and anti orientation of the LA relative to the reactive double bond of the dienophile complex. The free energies of complexes with respect to the reactants, as well as their relative free energies are listed in Table 1.

The data in Table 1 shows that the most stable complexes of the cyclopentene dienophiles 1 and 2 have the *s*-trans conformation with *anti* orientation of AlCl<sub>3</sub>. On the other hand, the most stable complexes of the 4,5-dihydrofuran dienophiles 3

and **4** have the *s*-*trans* conformation with *syn* orientation of the LA, although the energy difference between complexes **Ta** and **Ts** in the case of dienophile **3** is minimal.



Scheme 2. Syn- and anti-complexes of 1 with AlCl<sub>3.</sub>

The more simple examples of *exo*-selective dienophiles are the two 1-carbonyl derivatives of cyclopentene **1** and **2**. Calculated relative free energies of TSs for their additions to CPD are listed in Tables 2 and 3, resp. The favored diastereoisomeric TSs with **1** are shown in Fig. 1 along with their corresponding free energy differences and kinetic percentage contributions at 195 K.



**Fig. 1**. Energetically preferred transition structures for the AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalyzed addition of **1** to CPD, favored *exo*isomers on the right. Incipient C-C bonds are denoted by dash lines. The shown relative TS free energies are in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> at 195 K. Calculated kinetic product percentage distributions are at 195 K as well.

Stabilization energies are relative to free dienophiles <b>1</b> – <b>4</b> and isolated AIC <sub>13</sub> .								
	$\Delta\Delta G_{298}$ $\Delta\Delta G_{195}$				$\Delta\Delta G_{298}$			
			1				2	
Ca	-30.50	3.13	-34.57	3.11	-32.58	1.18	-36.82	1.09
Cs	-28.35	5.28	-32.60	5.08	-31.36	2.40	-35.60	2.31
Та	-33.63	0.00	-37.68	0.00	-33.76	0.00	-37.91	0.00
Ts	-31.27	2.36	-35.64	2.04	-32.16	1.60	-36.21	1.70
			3				4	
Ca	-26.86	2.23	-30.89	2.47	-30.82	0.00	-34.55	0.39
Cs	-25.00	4.08	-29.14	4.22	-28.53	2.29	-32.60	2.34
Та	-29.00	0.08	-33.01	0.35	-28.51	2.32	-32.77	2.17
Ts	-29.08	0.00	-33.36	0.00	-30.67	0.16	-34.94	0.00

**Table 1.** Stabilization and relative energies of AlCl<sub>3</sub> complexes of the dienophiles in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> at 298K and 195K.Stabilization energies are relative to free dienophiles 1 - 4 and isolated AlCl<sub>3</sub>.

Energetically preferred *exo* TSs, contributing to more of 70% of the reaction outcome, Fig. 1, have the *s-trans* conformation following the relative stability of the LA complexes (Table 1). The most stable *endo* TS however prefers the *s-cis* conformation. The *anti*-orientation of LA is preferred in all TSs. Calculated *exo* : *endo* ratio via all 8 TSs at 195K, 85.44 : 14.56, is in a very good agreement with the experimentally found one, 87.5 : 12.5. [3]. A computation of IRCs from favored *endo* and *exo* TSs is given on Fig. 2. The resulting smooth IRC energy profiles indicate concerted nonsynchronous reaction mechanisms.



Fig. 2. Intrinsic reaction coordinate following for the AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalyzed addition of 1 to CPD, the upper red curve refers to a NT addition, and the bottom blue curve to the XT favored addition. Optimized product structures are shown on the right (product) side of the graphic. Hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity

The less favored TS *endo*-isomers, Fig. 1, left, also indicate the reason for the observed diastereoselectivity, even though these structures satisfy the Alder-rule [2] for "maximum accumulation of unsaturation", or stacked  $\pi$ -bonds. The bulk of AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalyst, bound to the electron-withdrawing group, brings a strong disadvantage to the corresponding TSs due to steric repulsion.

Fig. 3 depicts favored TSs for the reaction of 2 and CPD with their corresponding kinetic contributions to the reaction stereochemical outcomeEnergetically preferred TSs in the case of dienophile 2 have the *s*-cis conformation (Table 2, Fig. 3), although the *s*-trans reactant complexes are more stable than the *s*-cis ones (Table 1).

**Table 2.** Relative free energies of TSs for the addition of **1** to CPD, kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>, and selected geometry parameters, incipient bonds  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , numbered in the order of their formation, in Å. *Endo* is denoted N, while *exo* is X. Reaction asynchronicity may be deduced from the difference between lengths of incipient C-C bonds,  $d_2$ - $d_1$ , or from their ratio  $d_2/d_1$ .

	$\Delta\Delta G$	$\Delta\Delta G$	$d_1$	$d_2$	d2-d1	$d_2/d_1$
	298	195				
NCa	0.88	0.79	2.122	3.048	0.926	1.436
NCs	3.59	3.52	2.159	2.981	0.822	1.381
NTa	1.06	0.96	2.052	2.887	0.835	1.407
NTs	1.89	1.63	2.051	2.973	0.922	1.450
XCa	0.58	0.62	2.113	2.916	0.803	1.380
XCs	3.02	2.89	2.145	2.952	0.807	1.376
XTa	0.00	0.00	2.048	2.898	0.850	1.415
XTs	0.90	0.74	2.084	2.918	0.834	1.400



**Fig. 3**. Dominant TSs for the model reaction of **2** with CPD, catalyzed by AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Relative free energies, in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>, and product percentage distributions are at the experimental temperature, 195 K.

Moreover, at difference to dienophile 1, only two TSs, NCa and XCa, contribute to the reaction outcome. The relative stabilities of NCa and NCs, as well as of XCa and XCs correspond to these of the *anti – syn* isomers of LA complexes, NCs being additionally hindered by repulsive interaction with CPD. The *s*-trans isomers, **XT**, suffer a repulsive interaction between their methyl group and the CH<sub>2</sub> fragment of CPD. Calculated exo : endo ratio via all 8 TSs at 195 K, 41.8 : 58.2, is in reasonable agreement with the experimentally found one, 50 : 50. [3]. Note that the energy difference between NCa and XCa of only 0.14 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> is about the limit of precision of DFT computations. IRC analyses indicate that both XCa and NCa products are the result of concerted reactions.

**Table 3.** Relative free energies for TSs for the addition of **2** to CPD, kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, and selected geometry parameters (incipient bonds). Å. For notations see Table 2.

(incipient bolids), A. For notations see Table 2.							
TS	$\Delta\Delta G$	$\Delta\Delta G$	$d_1$	d <sub>2</sub>	d2-d1	$d_2/d_1$	
	298	195					
NCa	0.00	0.00	2.078	2.993	0.915	1.440	
NCs	1.94	1.99	2.109	2.962	0.853	1.404	
NTa	3.41	3.03	2.019	2.864	0.845	1.419	
NTs	4.75	4.21	2.016	2.964	0.948	1.470	
XCa	0.27	0.14	2.056	2.884	0.828	1.403	
XCs	1.56	1.55	2.077	2.884	0.807	1.389	
ХТа	3.28	2.94	2.056	2.923	0.867	1.422	
XTs	4.76	4.15	2.050	2.894	0.844	1.412	

Experimentally determined *exo*-selectivity at 195 K is significantly higher in the [4+2] additions of 4,5-dihydrofurfural **3** (*exo* : *endo* = 97.5 : 2.5) and 4,5-dihydro-2-furylmethylketone **4** (*exo* : *endo* = 93.8 : 6.2) to CPD than in the corresponding reactions of carbonyl-substituted hydrocarbons **1** and **2** [3].

Our M06-2x/6-311G(d,p) model calculations with the AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalyst show overestimated exo – selectivity for **3** and **4**, while indicating increased asynchronicity of the favored TSs for the latter reactions, Fig. 4.

IRC following for the *endo*-TSs shows that the studied [4+2] addition is still a concerted though nonsynchronous reaction. In the two most stable *exo*-TSs the  $d_2/d_1$  ratio is significantly increased to 1.593 for dienophile **3**, and 1.574 for dienophile **4**. IRC following for **4** shows that the reaction still remains a concerted single step addition, while for **3** the product branch of the IRC arrives first at an intermediate, which is ca. 0.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower in energy than the TS. Next we find a second TS, indicating that at a ratio of  $d_2/d_1 \ge 1.57$  the concerted mechanism becomes a two-step one, see Fig. 5.



**Fig. 4.** Most stable *endo* and *exo* TSs for the [4+2] additions of **3** (upper row) and **4** to CPD catalyzed by AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Incipient C-C bonds are indicated by dashed lines, with corresponding distances in Å. Relative free energy differences in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> are at the experimental temperature, 195 K.

Nevertheless, present calculations predict correctly the experimentally observed reduction of selectivity in the reaction of the methyl substituted dienophile 4 relative to 3 [3].



**Fig. 5.** Calculated Gibbs free energies of PES stationary points around the rate-determining **TS1** of 4,5-dihydrofurfural with CPD, left, at the experimental temperature, 195 K, catalyzed by AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Relative energies are in kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup>, incipient C-C bond lengths (dashed lines) are in Å. The imaginary frequencies of the two TSs (as negative, in cm<sup>-1</sup>) are also

shown. The final product is at the right, with free energy out of scale.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Synthetically important exo-selective Diels -Alder additions are reproduced reasonably well using contemporary DFT calculations. This can be meaningful in view of possible synthetic planning of condensed ring compounds, such as alkaloid and polysaccharide systems, [3-7] requiring exojunctions. With the studied dienophiles 1 - 4, exoselectivity is mostly the result of steric interactions, although the cyclic dienophile C=C bonds with attached electron-withdrawing carbonyl groups could also contribute by reaction strain. [17] Lewis acid catalysis, while enhancing selectivity, does not fundamentally change the reaction outcome, even in the cases of mechanistic changes from a nonsynchronous concerted to a biradicaloid twostep reaction energy profile.

Acknowledgements: A part of the reported computations has been carried out on the MADARA HPC cluster, IOCCP, acquired under Project RNF01/0110 (2009-2011) of the National Science Fund of Bulgaria.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. O. Diels, K. Alder, J. Liebig's Ann. Chem., 460, 98 (1928).
- 2. K. Alder, G. Stein, Angew. Chem. 50, 510 (1937).
- K. S. Kim, Y. H. Joo, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 20, 385 (1999).
- 4. W. Adam, L.Hasemann, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **32**, 7033 (1991).
- F. Fotiadu, F. Michel, G. Buono, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 31, 4863 (1990).
- 6. F. Fotiadu, O. Pardigon, G. Buono, M. Le Corre, A. Hercouet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **40**, 867 (1999).

- E. Fosu, R. Tia, E. Adei, *Tetrahedron*, **72**, 8261 (2016).
- Gaussian 09 Rev. D.01: M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, 8. H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.
- Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, Acc. Chem. Res., 41, 157 (2008).
- 10. H. B. Schlegel, J. Comput. Chem., 3, 214 (1982).
- 11. X. Li, M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2, 835 (2006).
- 12. H. P. Hratchian, H. B. Schlegel, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **120**, 9918 (2004).
- 13. H. P. Hratchian, H. B. Schlegel, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 1, 61 (2005).
- 14. S. Shaik, H. B. Schlegel, S. Wolfe, Theoretical aspects of physical organic chemistry, Wiley, 1992.
- 15. T. Lowry, K. S. Richardson, Mechanism and Theory in Organic Chemistry, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Harper & Row, 1987.
- J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 105, 2999 (2005).
- 17. F. M. Bickelhaupt, K. N. Houk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201701486,

# ТЕОРЕТИЧНО ИЗСЛЕДВАНЕ НА ЕКЗО-СЕЛЕКТИВНИ ПРИСЪЕДИНЯВАНИЯ ПО ДИЛС – АЛДЕР, КАТАЛИЗИРАНИ ОТ АЛУМИНИЕВ ХЛОРИД

### С. М. Бакалова\*, Х. Канети, Н. Маркова

Институт по органична химия с Център по фитохимия, Българска академия на науките,

### 1113 София, България

Постъпила на 18 април 2017 г.; Коригирана на 2 юни 2017 г.

#### (Резюме)

Изследвана е катализираната от алуминиев хлорид екзо-селективна реакция на Дилс-Алдер между циклопентадиен и четири диенофила с петатомни пръстени, циклопентен и дихидрофуран, съдържащи диенофилната двойна връзка. Използвана е теорията на фукционала на плътността в приближение M06-2x/6-311G(d,p). Електронакцепторната група на използваните диенофили е карбонил, алдехид или кетон, свързан пряко с двойната връзка и способен да образува комплекси чрез координиране с алуминиев хлорид. Стабилизиращите енергии на тези комплекси са в рамките на 25 до 37 ккал.мол-1. Пресмятанията предсказват много добре наблюдаваната експериментално селективност на циклопентеновите диенофили, но преувеличават резултатите за дихидрофурановите производни. Независимо от това е предсказано правилно намаляването на селективността при ацетил-4,5-дихидрофуран в сравнение с 4,5-дихидрофурфурал. Разликата между изчислените свободни енергии на намерените преходни структури за реакциите се обяснява главно със стерични взаимодействия. Повечето от изследваните реакционни пътища отговарят на съгласуван несинхронен механизъм. В малкото случаи на силно асинхронни преходни структури пресмятанията предсказват двустепенен механизъм на реакцията.